

CME Focus Studies

Jim Thomas Winter 2023

Jim Thomas

(1) Winter 2023



a_1^2 and v_1^2 from the 200 GeV Au-Au Run 19



- The notation a_1^2 denotes the EbyE quantity $\Sigma (a_{1 p1}^* a_{1 p2})$ with p1 \neq p2
- a_1^2 is similar in shape and magnitude to v_1^2 , independent of which EP is used in the study
- a_1^2 shows charge separation ... but so does $v_1^2 \dots I$ didn't expect to see that

Jim Thomas

(2)



Compare $<<a_1^2>>$ and $<<v_1^2>>$ [times $<<v_2>>$]

Jim Thomas

(3)



- $<<a_1^2>>*<<v_2>>$ is similar in shape and magnitude to $<<v_1^2>>*<<v_2>>$ (note global avg)
 - $<<a_1^2>>*<<v_2>>$ shows charge separation ... but so does $<<v_1^2>>*<<v_2>>$
 - I didn't expect to see that ...



A few thoughts

- <<a₁²>> contains a significant amount of 'signal' (i.e. not small)
- <<v₁²>> contains a significant amount of 'signal' (i.e. not small) – <<v₁²>> is full of signal and similar in shape and magnitude to <<a₁²>>
- Both <<a₁²>> and <<v₁²>> show charge separation with OS > 0, SS < 0
 Not what I had expected
- The difference between these two curves [times $\langle v_2 \rangle \rangle$] is small and similar in shape and magnitude to the γ correlator (Ψ_{RP2})
 - It could be the CME
- Bottom line:

 $<<v_2>>$ inside or outside the sum is not important. The physics is in $<<a_1^2>>$.

- What we are really doing is comparing $\langle a_1^2 \rangle$ to $\langle v_1^2 \rangle$ using $\langle v_1^2 \rangle$ as the reference (i.e. by comparing fluctuations in the vertical direction to fluctuations in the horizontal direction).
- This is a good start ... but an assumption. Since $\langle v_1^2 \rangle$ is large, the physics in the horizontal direction may contain bits not equal to whatever is going on in the vertical direction. Minor bits may overwhelm the CME. This is obvious to expert observers.

Jim Thomas

(4)



Consider a minor shift in direction ...

- If our goal is to isolate a_{1CME} then we could try focusing directly on << a_1^2 >> and work to understand its various components.
 - Currently, we are comparing $<<a_1^2>>$ to the same quantity calculated with the EP at 90 degrees, it can also be done with a random EP angle
 - Or, use mixed events to create another form of a random EP, or the EP from the previous event

- STAR: we can directly compare the isobar systems $\langle a_1^2 \rangle a_{Ru}$ and $\langle a_1^2 \rangle a_{Zr}$
 - This would avoid background signals introduced by $\langle v_1^2 \rangle$ and/or $\langle v_2 \rangle$.
 - It is likely that nuclear shapes, flow & multiplicity fluctuations will play a role but this can be evaluated
 - And, of course, measure the event planes using multiple independent detectors such as the EPD



(5) Winter 2023



Backup Slides

Jim Thomas

(6) Winter 2023



Chiral Magnetic Effect

a_1^2 and v_1^2 from the 200 GeV Au-Au Run 19



Jim Thomas

The

(7) Winter 2023 $(v_1^2 - a_1^2)$ with Ψ_{EP2} suggests that SS < 0, OS > 0

while $(v_1^2 - a_1^2)$ with Ψ_{EP1} is ~zero



$(v_1^2 - a_1^2) * v_2$ using Ψ_{EP2} in 200 GeV Au-Au (Run 19)



- Note that $\langle \cos (\phi_i + \phi_j 2 \phi_k) \rangle$ was calculated on an EbyE basis, $\Sigma (v_1^2 a_1^2) * v_2$
- But, on this page, we are comparing it to $(\langle v_1^2 \rangle \langle a_1^2 \rangle) * \langle v_2 \rangle >$
- The similarity of the curves suggests that the separation of variables is a good approximation and we can focus on $\langle v_1^2 \rangle > \langle a_1^2 \rangle >$ or simply $\langle a_1^2 \rangle >$ to gather the essential physics

Jim Thomas

(8) Winter 2023



Technical notes

- The event planes were calculated using the TPC data, only.
- Centrality bins are preliminary, not the official Run 19 determination.
- The data for $\langle \cos(\phi_i + \phi_j 2 \phi_k) \rangle$ in the centrality bins 0-5% and 5-10% (pg 8) have been explicitly suppressed because they are expensive to calculate in a triple correlation. These are central events and we expect the result to be zero.
- Data taken from one run (~1.8 M Evts Run 19). This is a curse and a blessing: it makes the acceptance corrections stable but results could be a statistical fluke.
- Pion data, selected by 2σ cut on dE/dx band
- In principle, v₁ and a₁ should be measured wrt the 1st order reaction plane, v₂ should be measured wrt the 2nd order EP. If we take the1st order EP results seriously then the charge separation signal is zero. Would be good to do this again with a high quality measure of the 1st order RP such as the EPD
- It is computationally inefficient to calculate auto-correlations for a three particle correlation (especially when using TPC data). We could use independent 1st and/or 2nd order EP determination (e.g. the EPD) which would simplify the autocorrelation corrections. Food for thought and an obvious next step.

Jim Thomas

(9) Winter 2023



v_1 and v_2 in Au-Au 200 GeV (~1 Million events from Run 19)



2



 v_1 and v_2 doing familiar things (Note: $\Psi_1 \& \Psi_2$ EPs measured in TPC)

Jim Thomas

(10) **Winter 2023**

Several more low order terms ...





a_1^2 and v_1^2 from the 200 GeV Au-Au Run 19



- The notation a_1 Square denotes the EbyE quantity $\Sigma (a_{1_p1}^*a_{1_p2})$ with p1 \neq p2
- a_1^2 shows charge separation ... but so does $v_1^2 \dots I$ didn't expect to see that

The Chiral Magnetic Effect

Jim Thomas

(12)