## Study of low-lying resonance states in <sup>16</sup>F using an <sup>15</sup>O radioactive ion beam

D. W. Lee<sup>1, 3</sup>, K. Perajarvi<sup>1</sup>, J. Powell<sup>2</sup>, J. P. O'Neil<sup>2</sup>, D. M. Moltz<sup>4</sup>, and J. Cerny<sup>1, 4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

<sup>2</sup> Life Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

<sup>3</sup> Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

<sup>4</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Among the A=16, T=1 isobaric triad, many states in <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>16</sup>N have been well established, but less has been reported about <sup>16</sup>F. Four states of <sup>16</sup>F below 1 MeV have been identified experimentally, and their energies are currently known to an accuracy of 4-6 keV [1] (the next known state of <sup>16</sup>F lies at 3.76 MeV). Experimental studies with stable beams have established spin-parity values for theses low-lying states, but only upper limits or rough estimates of their level widths have been reported. The main difficulty in studying <sup>16</sup>F is that it can be reached by relatively few reactions, such as <sup>14</sup>N(<sup>3</sup>He,n) [2], <sup>19</sup>F(<sup>3</sup>He, <sup>6</sup>He) [3], <sup>16</sup>O(<sup>3</sup>He,t) [3,4], and <sup>16</sup>O(p,n) [5].

All the states in <sup>16</sup>F are unbound to <sup>15</sup>O+p. The spins and parities of the low-lying states have been found to be 0°, 1°, 2°, and 3° in ascending order in energy, and are believed to have <sup>15</sup>O core-single proton configurations such as  $1p_{1/2}^{-1} 2s_{1/2}$  for the 0°, 1°, and  $1p_{1/2}^{-1} 1d_{5/2}$  for the 2°, 3°. However, the variation in the  $1d_{5/2}$ -2s<sub>1/2</sub> energy level difference across the members of the A=16, T=1 isobaric triad made initial <sup>16</sup>F spin assignments uncertain, since <sup>16</sup>N showed J<sup> $\pi$ </sup> = 0°, 2°, 1°, 3° arose in <sup>16</sup>O.

A recently developed <sup>15</sup>O ( $T_{1/2} = 122$  sec.) radioactive ion beam from the BEARS (Berkeley Experiments with Accelerated Radioactive Species) facility was used to study the structure of <sup>16</sup>F using <sup>15</sup>O+p elastic scattering and the Thick Target Inverse Kinematics (TTIK) method on a polyethylene target. The process developed for the <sup>14</sup>O beam [6] was used for <sup>15</sup>O production, but the gas target was loaded with <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> instead of <sup>14</sup>N<sub>2</sub>. In addition, the unloaded <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> gas was stored and re-injected into the target cell using a recycling mechanism [7]. In this experiment, the average beam intensity of <sup>15</sup>O on target was about  $4.5 \times 10^4$  pps, and the beam energy spread was about 1.7 MeV FWHM.

The setup was similar to that given in Ref. [8], but was in Cave-0 rather than Cave-4A. The 120 MeV <sup>15</sup>O beam was slowed down by a 3.81  $\mu$ m Ni degrader, and completely stopped in a thick 200  $\mu$ m (18.4 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) CH<sub>2</sub> target. The main particle telescope was composed of 30  $\mu$ m, 700  $\mu$ m, and 5,000  $\mu$ m thick silicon detectors, located at a distance of 10.9 cm from the target at 0°. The first two detectors were thick enough to detect protons from the four low-lying resonance states in <sup>16</sup>F, and the third one permitted the detection of higher energy protons up to 7 MeV in the center-of-mass (c.m.). The total energy resolution was estimated to be 28 keV FWHM in the c.m., including contributions from electronic noise, detector/setup geometry, and beam straggling in the CH<sub>2</sub> target.

Figure 1 presents the results from 0.4 to 3 MeV in the c.m. The energy calibration for the system was done by using  ${}^{15}N(p,p)$  reactions before and after the main  ${}^{15}O(p,p)$  meas-

urement, since the energy levels of the relevant excited states in <sup>16</sup>O are well known. The uncertainty in the energy calibration was estimated to be about  $\pm 15$  keV in the c.m. frame. In this study, the earlier <sup>12</sup>C(<sup>14</sup>O,p) reaction data [8] were adopted to estimate the proton background - a very broad distribution for the background proton spectrum. This proton background appears to be small in the region of the sharp proton peaks from the four low-lying resonances.

Our data analysis focused on determining the level widths of the first four states in <sup>16</sup>F so that only the low energy region below 3 MeV in the c.m. was used for an R-matrix analysis. The results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 1 as well as the fitted background function. Some of the preliminary level widths that have been obtained differ from compiled values from the previous studies. The level widths of the 0<sup>°</sup>, and 1<sup>°</sup> states were reported to be  $40 \pm 20$  keV, and less than 40 keV, respectively in Ref. [1]. Our results suggest that the 0<sup>°</sup> state has a level width of  $23.3 \pm 1.6$  keV, and that the broader 1<sup>-</sup> state has a width of  $87.6 \pm 2.4$  keV (about twice the compiled value). Interestingly, similar results of  $\sim 25$ keV and ~ 100 keV were reported for the 0<sup>-</sup> and 1<sup>-</sup> state, respectively, in Ref. [4], which is consistent with this work. The level width of the 2<sup>-</sup> state is found to be  $3.4 \pm 0.6$  keV which is much narrower than the compiled value of  $40 \pm 30$ keV, while  $13.9 \pm 1.5$  keV for the 3<sup>-</sup> state is in good agreement with < 15 keV given in Ref. [1].



FIG. 1: (Color online) The center-of-mass excitation function for <sup>15</sup>O+p elastic scattering. The solid line presents the R-matrix fit, with the background function shown by the dashed line.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. Tilley et. al., Nucl. Phys. A564, 1 (1993).
- [2] C. D. Zafiratos et. al., Phys. Rev. 137, B1479 (1965).
- [3] H. Nann et. al., Phys. Rev. C 16, 1684 (1977).
- [4] W. A. Sterrenburg et. al., Nucl. Phys. A420, 257 (1984).
- [5] A. Fazely et. al., Phys. Rev. C 25, 1760 (1982).
- [6] J. Powell et. al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 204, 440 (2003).
- [7] J. Powell and J. P. O'Neil, Appl. Radiat. Isot. 64, 755 (2006).
- [8] F. Q. Guo et. al., Phys. Rev. C 72, 034312 (2005).